The following tables give a recapitulation for two years of the sea fisheries of France proper and of the French colony of Algeria:

Table I.—Quantity and value of the sea fisheries of France in 1884 and 1885.

Kind.	1884.		1885.		Value in 1885 compared with 1884.	
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Increase	Deprease.
Cod pounds Herring do. Mackerel do. Saudines number Anchovies pounds. Other fish do. Oysters number Mussels bushels Other shell-fish do Lobsters, &c number Shrimps pounds Marine fertilizers cu. feet	101, 352, 473 21, 162, 427 411, 819, 005 11, 460, 503 115, 456, 692 119, 277, 795 1, 361, 966 1, 040, 529	\$2, 643, 332 1, 720, 844 712, 487 1, 702, 949 201, 354 7, 043, 848 336, 772 356, 549 246, 184 528, 184 365, 080 1, 118, 919	19, 077, 420 494, 077, 820 87, 548, 994 120, 744, 383 126, 579, 817 1, 510, 162 1, 236, 416	\$3, 165, 357 1, 667, 987 732, 189 2, 205, 463 211, 185 29, 18, 663 823, 242 460, 646 258, 674 488, 846 405, 414 1, 060, 545	\$522, 025 19, 652 502, 514 9, 831 104, 097 12, 490 40, 834	
Total		16, 976, 497		17, 898, 161	921, 664	

Table II.—Quantity and value of the sea fisheries of Algeria in 1884 and 1885.

Kind	1884.		1885.		Value in 1885 compared with 1884.	
	Quantity.	Value.	Quantity.	Value.	Increase.	Decrease.
Mackerel pounds Sardines number Anchovies pounds Other fish do Lobsters, &c number Allaohes do Other shell-fish bushels Shrimps pounds Bonitos do Tunnies do Coral do Mussels bushels Oysters number	110, 138, 331 688, 331 7, 027, 057 34, 510 80, 639, 640 207 95, 968 232, 136	\$67, 754 134, 508 34, 455 857, 128 12, 890 48, 874 8, 276 14, 924 7, 303 42, 437 505	324, 848 99, 196, 220 1, 225, 240 7, 807, 515 28, 805 58, 932, 034 105, 607 144, 245 298, 875 25, 102 85 201, 900	\$15, 735 108, 860 70, 229 887, 272 11, 143 52, 981 2, 817 9, 616 7, 959 25, 394 98, 949	\$35, 774 30, 144 9, 107 2, 434 1, 340 18, 091 50, 512	\$52, 019 25, 648 1, 747 6, 905
Total		725, 176		791, 566	66, 390	

Paris, France, January 25, 1887.

## 15.-RAINBOW TROUT IN SOUTHWESTERN MISSOURI.

## By Dr. H. J. MAYNARD.

Very great success has been gained in stocking Spring River, and this indicates what can be done in all the waters of Southwestern Missouri, from and including the Osage River on the north and the Gasconade River on the east.

October 9, 1885, I went to the head of Spring River with Dr. E. P. Hansard, of Pierce City, Lawrence County, Missouri, to classify a trout

said to be found there. On beginning fishing he immediately landed a 17-inch fish that proved to be a rainbow trout, sometimes known as the California red-sided trout. Soon afterwards another of the same species was taken, weighing a little over 4½ pounds when dressed. In a study of the stream for about a mile I saw over 100 trout, ranging from 12 to 18 inches in length, and about 30 of the larger size were taken. At the head of the river, which is an immense spring, and within 100 yards below, I saw many thousands of the last hatching, which were 4 or 5 inches long.

Thirty or forty were caught during this last summer a mile or so be low the head of the river, where the water gets as warm in summer as it does in any of these streams, which shows that these fish will thrive all over this section of Missouri. These trout are the remnants and progeny of 1,500 fry planted June 10, 1882, and their growth is extraordinary. Even if they had been planted one or two years before, the growth is surprising, and shows that with a little care and expense all these streams can be made alive with a remarkably fine game fish, which is also an excellent and delicate table fish.

It is, moreover, more hardy than is generally supposed. I have planted it in the shallow creeks of the Wyoming plains, where the water gets so warm and is always so alkaline that scarcely anything but the hardy cyprinoids can live, and the rainbow trout has done well in them.

CHEYENNE, WYO., March 29, 1887.

## 16 .- SALMON NOT INJURED BY CATFISH.

## By HORACE D. DUNN.

Word has gone out that catfish have been taken in Suisun Bay whose stomachs were full of young fish and salmon spawn. Upon this statement a cry has been made that the catfish were destroying both spawn and young salmon. The facts of the case are that the catfish were caught in the vicinity of a salmon cannery, and that the spawn was among the fish-offal thrown into the bay; and the young fish were "split-tails" and not valuable for food purposes. No salmon cast their spawn naturally within 250 miles of where the catfish were taken, and no young salmon are to be found in that vicinity but of such size and vigor that the catfish could neither catch nor swallow them. All the smolts or parr caught in the waters of San Francisco Bay, so far as I have known, have been over six inches long, and if they could escape the "hard-mouths," or pike and sturgeon, in passing down a river for 250 miles, a sluggish catfish would not be apt to catch them.

SAN FRANCISCO, CAL., June 8, 1887.